UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,15	2	10/09/2003	Fredrick J. Landram	TELNP0201US	8207
7590 02/20/2007  Kenneth W. Fafrak  Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP		EXAMINER			
Renner,	Otto, Boissell	e & Sklar, LLP	BANGACHON, WILLIAM L		
Nineteenth Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Clevela	nd, OH 44115	-2191	2612		
SHORTENED ST	TATUTORY PERIO	D OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	3 MONTHS	•	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/682,152	LANDRAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William L. Bangachon	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. 'Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	June 2004.					
,— · ·—	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the content of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s) is objected to be a section of the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati fority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Examiner's Response

1. In response to the application filed 10/09/2003, the application has been examined. The Examiner has considered the presentation of claims in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. It is the Examiner's position that claims 1-62 are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this Office action:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-13, 15, 18-23, 25-43, 45, 48-53 and 55-62, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2003/0008636 A1 {hereinafter 'McGregor et al'}.

In claim 29, McGregor et al teach of a mobile device allocation system for securely allocating mobile devices to a plurality of users, comprising:

at least one system backbone, such as a modem link or satellite link described in paragraph 0057, 0093 and 0104. Also see Figures 2 and 9;

at least one host computer, such as such as the central processing unit 14 shown in Figure 1 or a personal computer 42 shown in Figure 2, coupled to the system backbone; and

a plurality of mobile terminals (i.e. plurality of portable phone 30) shown in Figure 2, operatively configured to communicate to the host computer 14 or personal computer 42 through the system backbone,

wherein the plurality of mobile devices are stored in an inoperative state (i.e. off state or lock state), and the at least one host computer and a selected mobile device are operatively configured to place the selected mobile device in an operative state based upon an identification code described in paragraph 0082. See the different identification codes used in paragraphs 0106-0107 and paragraph 0133 regarding how the identification code, such as password or MIN, is entered.

In claim 30, McGregor et al further teach:

at least one secure area, such as a retail store, rental store or local service center, wherein the plurality of mobile devices are stored in the at least one secure area, and the at least one host computer is operatively configured to grant access to the at least one secure area based on the identification code described in paragraphs 0082, 0104 and 0112.

In claims 31-32, retail stores or rental stores or local service centers are a secure room or enclosure, such as those found in malls.

In claim 33, McGregor et al teach that the identification code is selected from the group consisting of numeric codes and alphanumeric codes described in paragraphs 0106-0107 and paragraph 0133.

In claim 34, McGregor et al further teach:

a plurality of docking stations, such as the interlink receiver 28 with a boot 32, located in the at least one secure area, wherein each docking station is coupled to the at least one system backbone (i.e. wired communication link 326 or wireless link via dedicated or switched public network) shown in Figure 9, and each mobile device is operatively configured to communicate to the host computer through a respective docking station described in paragraphs 0054 and 0076+.

In claim 35, McGregor et al further teach:

at least one wireless remote station, such as a service center or retail delivery system shown in Figure 9, coupled to the at least one system backbone (i.e. wireless network), wherein each mobile terminal is operatively configured to communicate to the host computer through the wireless remote station when the respective mobile device is not in the boot 32 described in paragraph 0104.

In claims 36-37, McGregor et al teach that the at least one host computer selects the mobile device to be placed in an operative state based on a preselected criteria, such as selectively programming phones for different service providers or reprogram phones when customers switch service providers or exchange phone units, described in paragraph 0104, lines 17-24.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,152

Art Unit: 2612

In claim 38, McGregor et al teach that the operational mobile device is configured to be operational for a first interval (i.e. certain date), and upon expiration of the first interval the operational mobile device becomes inoperational or locked, described in paragraph 0112, lines 1-7.

In claim 39, McGregor et al teach that the operational mobile device is configured to retain data within a memory area, such as an EPROM chip, for a second interval, described in paragraphs 0059 and 0061, and upon expiration of the second interval all data within the memory area is purged, described in paragraph 0085.

In claim 40, McGregor et al teach that the second interval is inhibited if the mobile device is returned to the secure area prior to the expiration of the second interval described in paragraph 0098. This occurs when a customer is dissatisfied with the equipment operation, service or billing and the customer requests a service switch without waiting for the expiration of the second interval.

In claim 41, McGregor et al teach that the operational mobile device is configured to retain data within a memory area, such as an EPROM chip, for a second interval, described in paragraphs 0059 and 0061, and upon expiration of the second interval all data within the memory area is purged, described in paragraph 0085.

In claim 42, McGregor et al teach that the host computer monitors the port terminals 392 to detect when a mobile phone is inserted into a boot described in paragraph [0122] so that when a mobile phone is inserted into the boot, the mobile phone may be programmed with a new operating software, described in paragraph [0123], [0127]-[0129]. Further, when the mobile device moves to a new location,

Application/Control Number: 10/682,152

Art Unit: 2612

monitoring software patches can be downloaded to the mobile devices described in paragraph 0073. The software patches stored in RAM (i.e. new mobile device operating software) then become the new operating software which logs calls, including time, date, and location when the call was made {see paragraphs 0074-0075}.

In claim 43, McGregor et al teach that the host computer configures the selected mobile device's functionality based on the particular user described in paragraph 0098.

In claim 45, McGregor et al teach an alarm, such as a failure flag message will prompt the rental operator to remove an inoperative mobile terminal selected by a user, described in paragraph 0065.

In claim 48, McGregor et al teaches that the system of claim 29, further comprises:

a communications link, such as a cellular telephone network described in paragraph 0072, between a first mobile device and a second mobile device, wherein messages are exchanged over the communications link between the user of the first mobile device and the user of the second mobile device.

In claim 49, McGregor et al teaches that the communications link is a direct communications link, such as a cellular network service providers link described in paragraph 0090, from the first mobile device to the second mobile device.

In claim 50, McGregor et al teach that the communications link is an indirect link, such as a communication link provided by other cellular network service providers described in paragraph 0091, from the first mobile device to the second mobile device.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,152

Art Unit: 2612

In claim 51, McGregor et al teach that the indirect communications link includes communicating from the first mobile device to the host computer to the second mobile device. This occurs when the rental service location is also a cellular network service provider described in paragraph 0090+ and paragraph 0098.

In claim 52, McGregor et al teach that the host computer tracks the location of the mobile device as the mobile device moves between a plurality of cells (i.e. mobile device roams away from home base), described in paragraph 0071-0073, for the purpose of properly billing the mobile device wherever it travels, described in paragraph 0072.

In claim 53, McGregor et al teach that the host computer stores the location of the mobile device in a memory described in paragraph 0072+.

In claims 55 and 58, McGregor et al teach that the system of claim 29, further comprises:

a remote communication link, such as a wired modem link or wireless network link shown in Figure 9 and described in paragraph 0092, wherein at least one mobile device communicates to the host computer through the remote communication link. Also see paragraph 0057.

In claims 56 and 57, McGregor et al teaches that the remote communication link is an Internet or intranet connection, such as a TCP/IP or X.25 link shown in Figure 9. Also see paragraph 0117 regarding use of standard X21 network communication link.

Claims 1-13, 15 and 17-53, recite a method for practicing the system of claims 29-43 and therefore rejected for the same reasons.

Claims 25-28 recites the limitations of claims 2-5 and therefore rejected for the same reasons.

Claims 59-62 recites the limitations of claims 30-33 and therefore rejected for the same reasons.

7. Claims 14, 16-17, 24, 44, 46-47 and 54, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2003/0008636 A1 {hereinafter 'McGregor et al'}.

In claim 44, McGregor et al does not disclose, "the mobile device displays advertisements based on a previous history of the particular user". The Examiner is taking Official notice that such features are conventional in mobile devices and would have been obvious in the system of McGregor et al because it provide a user means to track or trade stocks or track a sporting event through their mobile device.

In claim 46, although McGregor et al does not disclose "an audible alarm", it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of applicant's invention, to include an audible alarm in the system of McGregor et al because such alarms aides visually impaired users means to diagnose a mobile device.

In claim 47, although McGregor et al does not teach that the alarm is a visual alarm, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of applicant's invention, to include a visual alarm in the system of McGregor et al because the visual alarm is suitable for long messages, such as instructing rental operator, described in paragraph [0065].

In claim 54, McGregor et al does not disclose, "the host computer instructs the mobile device to emit an alert signal to assist in locating the mobile device". However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of applicant's invention, to include such limitations in the tracking system of McGregor et al because this provides a phone distribution system means to instruct a user of a mobile device to return the mobile device upon reaching a certain date or predetermined dollar limit and thereby locate the mobile device, described in paragraph 0112.

Claim 14 recites a method for practicing the system of claim 44 and therefore rejected for the same reasons.

Claim 16 recites a method for practicing the system of claim 46 and therefore rejected for the same reasons.

Claim 17 recites a method for practicing the system of claim 47 and therefore rejected for the same reasons.

Claim 24 recites a method for practicing the system of claim 54 and therefore rejected for the same reasons.

### Office Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to William Bangachon whose telephone number is **(571)-272-3065**. The Examiner can normally be reached from Monday through Friday, 7:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/682,152 Page 11

Art Unit: 2612

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brian Zimmerman can be reached on (571)-272-3059. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for regular and After Final formal communications. The Examiner's fax

2/3-6300 for regular and After Final formal communications. The Examiner's fax

number is (571)-273-3065 for informal communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4700.

W*i*lli*a*m L Bangachon

Examiner

Art Unit 2685

February 12, 2007

BRIANZIMMERMAN BRIMARY EXAMINER